



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/798,774

03/11/2004

Yoshifumi Shiraishi

36418

6455

116

7590

07/20/2006

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

1801 EAST 9TH STREET

SUITE 1200

CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108

EXAMINER

VO, ANH T N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2861

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/798,774 | SHIRAISHI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Anh T.N. Vo | 2861 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anh T.N. Vo. (3) Ikuo Terauchi.
 (2) Dhiren Odedra. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All of the record.

Identification of prior art discussed: references of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant repesented a proposed amendment related to claims and pointed out the claimed invention of claw and a recessed part such that when claw does not protrude into recessed part, the stopper prevents cartridge from being inserted. These features do not shown in the prior art reference in record. Examiner will reconsider when receiving upon a response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 ANH T.N. VO
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

7/17/06

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required